

Logical Semantics for the First Order ζ -Calculus

Ugo de' Liguoro, Torino

Steffen van Bakel, Imperial College, London

ICTCS, Bertinoro, Oct 13-15, 2003

Questions we are addressing

Object calculi have been investigated by syntactical means, under the assumption that their models are λ -models of some kind. We think that λ -models deserve further investigation in the special concern of object-oriented concepts and calculi, answering the following:

Questions we are addressing

Object calculi have been investigated by syntactical means, under the assumption that their models are λ -models of some kind. We think that λ -models deserve further investigation in the special concern of object-oriented concepts and calculi, answering the following:

- Which structures are suitable as models of object calculi?

Questions we are addressing

Object calculi have been investigated by syntactical means, under the assumption that their models are λ -models of some kind. We think that λ -models deserve further investigation in the special concern of object-oriented concepts and calculi, answering the following:

- Which structures are suitable as models of object calculi?
- Is there a simple description of types and values?

Questions we are addressing

Object calculi have been investigated by syntactical means, under the assumption that their models are λ -models of some kind. We think that λ -models deserve further investigation in the special concern of object-oriented concepts and calculi, answering the following:

- Which structures are suitable as models of object calculi?
- Is there a simple description of types and values?
- Is there a logical description of such models, allowing for an assignment system to derive properties of terms?

The untyped ζ -calculus

The grammar of terms of the untyped ζ -calculus is:

$$a, b ::= x \mid [l_i = \zeta(x_i)b_i^{i \in I}] \mid a.l \mid a.l \Leftarrow \zeta(x)b$$

where $L = \{l_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a denumerable set of *labels*.

The untyped ζ -calculus

The grammar of terms of the untyped ζ -calculus is:

$$a, b ::= x \mid [l_i = \zeta(x_i)b_i^{i \in I}] \mid a.l \mid a.l \Leftarrow \zeta(x)b$$

where $L = \{l_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a denumerable set of *labels*.

The *reduction relation* is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} [l_i = \zeta(x_i)b_i^{i \in I}].l_j &\rightarrow b_j\{x_j \leftarrow [l_i = \zeta(x_i)b_i^{i \in I}]\} \\ [l_i = \zeta(x_i)b_i^{i \in I}].l_j \Leftarrow \zeta(x)b &\rightarrow [l_i = \zeta(x_i)b_i^{i \in I \setminus j}, l_j = \zeta(x^A)b] \end{aligned}$$

where $a\{x \leftarrow b\}$ is the replacement of x by b in a , avoiding variable clashes.

The first order typed system OB_1

The set of *types* is defined by the following grammar:

$$A, B ::= K \mid [l_i : B_i \text{ }^{i \in I}]$$

where I is a finite set of indexes.

Type judgements

The *type judgements* are defined by the following natural deduction system (where $A = [\ell_k : B_k \text{ }^{k \in I}]$):

Type judgements

The *type judgements* are defined by the following natural deduction system (where $A = [\ell_k : B_k \text{ }^{k \in I}]$):

$$(Var) \frac{}{E \vdash x^A} (x^A \in E)$$

Type judgements

The *type judgements* are defined by the following natural deduction system (where $A = [\ell_k : B_k \text{ }^{k \in I}]$):

$$(Var) \frac{}{E \vdash x^A} (x^A \in E)$$

$$(ValObject) \frac{E, x_i^A \vdash b_i^{B_i}}{E \vdash [\ell_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{B_i} \text{ }^{i \in I}]^A} (\forall i \in I)$$

Type judgements

The *type judgements* are defined by the following natural deduction system (where $A = [\ell_k : B_k \text{ }^{k \in I}]$):

$$(Var) \frac{}{E \vdash x^A} (x^A \in E)$$

$$(ValObject) \frac{E, x_i^A \vdash b_i^{B_i}}{E \vdash [\ell_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{B_i} \text{ }^{i \in I}]^A} (\forall i \in I)$$

$$(ValSelect) \frac{E \vdash a^A}{E \vdash (a^A . \ell_j)^{B_j}} (j \in I)$$

Type judgements

The *type judgements* are defined by the following natural deduction system (where $A = [\ell_k : B_k \text{ }^{k \in I}]$):

$$(Var) \frac{}{E \vdash x^A} (x^A \in E)$$

$$(ValObject) \frac{E, x_i^A \vdash b_i^{B_i}}{E \vdash [\ell_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{B_i} \text{ }^{i \in I}]^A} (\forall i \in I)$$

$$(ValSelect) \frac{E \vdash a^A}{E \vdash (a^A.l_j)^{B_j}} (j \in I)$$

$$(ValUpdate) \frac{E \vdash a^A \quad E, x^A \vdash b^{B_j}}{E \vdash (a^A.l_j \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A) b^{B_j})^A} (j \in I)$$

Predicates (intersection types)

The set \mathcal{L} of *predicates* is inductively defined by:

$$\sigma, \tau ::= \kappa \mid \omega \mid (\sigma \wedge \tau) \mid (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \mid \langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle$$

Predicates (intersection types)

The set \mathcal{L} of *predicates* is inductively defined by:

$$\sigma, \tau ::= \kappa \mid \omega \mid (\sigma \wedge \tau) \mid (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \mid \langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle$$

The intended meanings are:

- κ atomic predicates, ω is “true”;

Predicates (intersection types)

The set \mathcal{L} of *predicates* is inductively defined by:

$$\sigma, \tau ::= \kappa \mid \omega \mid (\sigma \wedge \tau) \mid (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \mid \langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle$$

The intended meanings are:

- κ atomic predicates, ω is “true”;
- $\sigma \wedge \tau$ is conjunction;

Predicates (intersection types)

The set \mathcal{L} of *predicates* is inductively defined by:

$$\sigma, \tau ::= \kappa \mid \omega \mid (\sigma \wedge \tau) \mid (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \mid \langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle$$

The intended meanings are:

- κ atomic predicates, ω is “true”;
- $\sigma \wedge \tau$ is conjunction;
- $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ is true of functions sending elements that satisfy σ into elements that satisfy τ ;

Predicates (intersection types)

The set \mathcal{L} of *predicates* is inductively defined by:

$$\sigma, \tau ::= \kappa \mid \omega \mid (\sigma \wedge \tau) \mid (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \mid \langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle$$

The intended meanings are:

- κ atomic predicates, ω is “true”;
- $\sigma \wedge \tau$ is conjunction;
- $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ is true of functions sending elements that satisfy σ into elements that satisfy τ ;
- $\langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle$ holds of records whose item at l_i satisfies σ_i (for all $i \in I$).

Predicates entailment

The pre-order \leq on predicates is such that:

$$\sigma \leq \tau \Rightarrow \langle l : \sigma \rangle \leq \langle l : \tau \rangle$$

$$\langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle \leq \langle l_j : \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle, \text{ if } J \subseteq I$$

$$\langle l_i : \sigma_i^{i \in I} \rangle \wedge \langle l_j : \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle \leq \langle l_k : \rho_k^{(k \in I \cup J)} \rangle,$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \rho_k = \sigma_k \wedge \tau_k, & \text{if } k \in I \cap J, \\ \rho_k = \sigma_k, & \text{if } k \in I \setminus J, \\ \rho_k = \tau_k, & \text{if } k \in J \setminus I \end{cases}$$

plus axioms for the arrow, ω and conjunction.

$\sigma \leq \tau$ reads as “ σ implies τ ”.

Predicate Assignment

A *basis* Γ is a finite set of statements $x^B : \sigma$ with distinct subjects. Let $A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}]$ and B, B_i any type, then:

Predicate Assignment

A *basis* Γ is a finite set of statements $x^B : \sigma$ with distinct subjects. Let $A \equiv [\ell_i : B_i^{i \in I}]$ and B, B_i any type, then:

$$(Var) \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x^B : \sigma} (x^B : \sigma \in \Gamma)$$

Predicate Assignment

A *basis* Γ is a finite set of statements $x^B : \sigma$ with distinct subjects. Let $A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}]$ and B, B_i any type, then:

$$\text{(Var)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x^B : \sigma} \quad (x^B : \sigma \in \Gamma)$$

$$\text{(TypeObject)} \frac{\Gamma, x_i^A : \sigma_i \vdash b_i^{B_i} : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{i \in I}]^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j \rightarrow \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle} \quad (\forall i \in I \ \& \ J \subseteq I)$$

Predicate Assignment

A *basis* Γ is a finite set of statements $x^B : \sigma$ with distinct subjects. Let $A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}]$ and B, B_i any type, then:

$$\text{(Var)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x^B : \sigma} (x^B : \sigma \in \Gamma)$$

$$\text{(TypeObject)} \frac{\Gamma, x_i^A : \sigma_i \vdash b_i^{B_i} : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{i \in I}]^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j \rightarrow \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle} (\forall i \in I \ \& \ J \subseteq \dots)$$

$$\text{(ValSelect)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash a^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j \rightarrow \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle \quad \Gamma \vdash a^A : \sigma_k}{\Gamma \vdash a.l_k^{B_k} : \tau_k} (k \in J)$$

Predicate Assignment

A *basis* Γ is a finite set of statements $x^B : \sigma$ with distinct subjects. Let $A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}]$ and B, B_i any type, then:

$$(Var) \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x^B : \sigma} (x^B : \sigma \in \Gamma)$$

$$(TypeObject) \frac{\Gamma, x_i^A : \sigma_i \vdash b_i^{B_i} : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{i \in I}]^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j \rightarrow \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle} (\forall i \in I \ \& \ J \subseteq \dots)$$

$$(ValSelect) \frac{\Gamma \vdash a^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j \rightarrow \tau_j^{j \in J} \rangle \quad \Gamma \vdash a^A : \sigma_k}{\Gamma \vdash a.l_k^{B_k} : \tau_k} (k \in J)$$

$$(ValUpdate) \frac{\Gamma \vdash a^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j^{j \in J} \rangle \quad \Gamma, y^A : \sigma \vdash b^{B_k} : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (a.l_k \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A) b)^A : \langle l_j : \sigma_j^{j \in J \setminus k}, l_k : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rangle} (k \in J)$$

Example

Let $A \equiv [l_0 : \mathbf{I}, l_1 : \mathbf{I}]$ and $a \equiv [l_0 = \zeta(x^A)1, l_1 = \zeta(x^A)x.l_0]$, such that $\vdash a^A$. Then (where \mathbf{I} stands for the type Integer, and \mathbf{O} and \mathbf{E} for its sub-type Odd, and Even.)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \vdash x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle}{x^A : \omega \vdash 1^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{O}} \quad \frac{x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \vdash x^A : \omega}{x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \vdash (x.l_0)^{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{O}}}{\vdash a^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O}, l_1 : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle}}$$

Note that l_0 is a field; l_1 is the method `get l_0` .

Example (2)

By rule (*ValUpdate*) one might derive

$$\frac{\vdash a^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O}, l_1 \rangle \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \quad \overline{y^A : \omega \vdash 2^I : \mathbf{E}}}{\vdash (a.l_0 \leftarrow \varsigma(y^A)2)^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E}, l_1 : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{O}}$$

Example (2)

By rule (*ValUpdate*) one might derive

$$\frac{\vdash a^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O}, l_1 \rangle \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \quad \overline{y^A : \omega \vdash 2^I : \mathbf{E}}}{\vdash (a.l_0 \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A)2)^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E}, l_1 : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{O}}$$

Now $(a.l_0 \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A)2).l_1 \rightarrow^* 2$, and we assume $\not\vdash 2 : \mathbf{O}$,
but $\not\vdash (a.l_0 \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A)2).l_1 : \mathbf{O}$ because rule (*ValSelect*)
does not apply since

$$\not\vdash (a.l_0 \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A)2) : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \rangle.$$

Example (3)

On the other hand, the following is legal as well, by rule (*TypeObject*):

$$\frac{\frac{x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \vdash x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \quad x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \vdash x^A : \omega}{x^A : \omega \vdash 1^I : \mathbf{O}} \quad x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \vdash (x.l_0)^I : \mathbf{E}}{\vdash a^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O}, l_1 \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle}$$

Example (3)

On the other hand, the following is legal as well, by rule (*TypeObject*):

$$\frac{\frac{x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \vdash x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \quad x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \vdash x^A : \omega}{x^A : \omega \vdash 1^I : \mathbf{O}} \quad x^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \vdash (x.l_0)^I : \mathbf{E}}{\vdash a^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O}, l_1 \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle}$$

Therefore, by rule (*ValUpdate*), we have the assignment:

$$\frac{\vdash a^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{O}, l_1 \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \quad y^A : \omega \vdash 2^I : \mathbf{E}}{\vdash (a.l_0 \Leftarrow \varsigma(y^A)2)^A : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E}, l_1 : \langle l_0 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rangle}$$

Predicate Assignment (2)

The assignment system is completed by the following 'logical' rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\omega) \frac{E \vdash a^B}{\Gamma \vdash a^B : \omega} \quad (E \triangleleft \Gamma) \quad (\wedge I) \frac{\Gamma \vdash a^B : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash a^B : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash a^B : \sigma \wedge \tau} \end{array}$$

$$(\leq) \frac{\Gamma \vdash a^B : \sigma \quad \sigma \leq \tau}{\Gamma \vdash a^B : \tau}$$

If E is a context and Γ a basis, we say that E *fits into* Γ , written $E \triangleleft \Gamma$, if $x^A : \sigma \in \Gamma$ implies $x^A \in E$.

Invariance under conversion

- If $\Gamma \vdash a^A : \rho$, and $a \rightarrow a'$, then $\Gamma \vdash a'^A : \rho$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash a^A : \rho$ and $a' \rightarrow a$ where $E \vdash a'^A$ for $E \triangleleft \Gamma$, then $\Gamma \vdash a'^A : \rho$.

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

$$\frac{}{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_A}$$

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

$$\frac{}{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_A} \qquad \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\sigma \wedge \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}$$

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

$$\frac{}{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\sigma \wedge \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_B}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B}}$$

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

$$\frac{}{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\sigma \wedge \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_B}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B}}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B_j}}{\langle l_j : \sigma \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad (A = [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}], j \in I)$$

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

$$\frac{}{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_A}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\sigma \wedge \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_B}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B}}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B_j}}{\langle l_j : \sigma \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad (A = [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}], j \in I)$$

$$\frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\tau \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad (\sigma \leq \tau)$$

Types and Predicates

The set of all predicates \mathcal{L} is stratified into a family $\{\mathcal{L}_A\}_A$ of sets of predicates called *languages*, indexed over types (considering $A \rightarrow B$ as a type):

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\omega \in \mathcal{L}_A} \\
 \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\sigma \wedge \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A} \\
 \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A \quad \tau \in \mathcal{L}_B}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B}} \\
 \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{A \rightarrow B_j}}{\langle l_j : \sigma \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad (A = [l_i : B_i^{i \in I}], j \in I) \\
 \frac{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A}{\tau \in \mathcal{L}_A} \quad (\sigma \leq \tau)
 \end{array}$$

If $\tau \in \mathcal{L}_B$ whenever $x^B : \tau \in \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \vdash a^A : \sigma$ is derivable, then $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A$.

Untyped ζ -models (1)

$\mathcal{D} = \langle D, L, \text{emp}, \text{lcond}, \text{sel} \rangle$ is an *untyped ζ -model* if:

Untyped ζ -models (1)

$\mathcal{D} = \langle D, L, \text{emp}, \text{lcond}, \text{sel} \rangle$ is an *untyped ζ -model* if:

- D is a λ -model and $L = \{l_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a denumerable set of labels;

Untyped ζ -models (1)

$\mathcal{D} = \langle D, L, \text{emp}, \text{lcond}, \text{sel} \rangle$ is an *untyped ζ -model* if:

- D is a λ -model and $L = \{l_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a denumerable set of labels;
- $\text{emp} \in D$, $\text{sel} : D \times L \rightarrow D$, $\text{lcond} : D \times L \times D \rightarrow D$;

Untyped ζ -models (1)

$\mathcal{D} = \langle D, L, \text{emp}, \text{lcond}, \text{sel} \rangle$ is an *untyped ζ -model* if:

- D is a λ -model and $L = \{l_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a denumerable set of labels;
- $\text{emp} \in D$, $\text{sel} : D \times L \rightarrow D$, $\text{lcond} : D \times L \times D \rightarrow D$;

such that (writing lcond and sel in a Curryfied form):

- $\text{sel}(\text{lcond } x l_i y) l_i = y$,
- $i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{sel}(\text{lcond } x l_i y) l_j = \text{sel } x l_j$,
- $i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{lcond}(\text{lcond } x l_i y) l_j z = \text{lcond}(\text{lcond } x l_i z) l_j y$.

An untyped ζ -model is an instance of Mitchell's λ record-combinatory structure.

Untyped ζ -models (2)

To build an untyped ζ -model it suffices to solve:

$$D = At + [L \rightarrow D]_{\perp} + [D \rightarrow D]$$

Since any \mathcal{D} is a λ -model, we shall freely use abstraction notation. Moreover, we use the abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot \rangle &= \text{emp} \\ \langle l_i = d_i^{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \rangle &= \text{lcond}(\dots (\text{lcond emp } l_1 d_1) \dots) l_n d_n \\ d \cdot l_i &= \text{sel } d l_i \\ d \cdot l_i := e &= \text{lcond } d l_i e \end{aligned}$$

Predicate Interpretation

If D is a solution of $D = At + [L \rightarrow D]_{\perp} + [D \rightarrow D]$,
then $[[\sigma]]^D_{\eta} \subseteq D$:

- $[[\omega]]_{\eta} = D$,
- $[[\kappa]]_{\eta} = \eta(\kappa)$, where $\eta : [[\kappa]] \rightarrow \wp(D)$,
- $[[\sigma \wedge \tau]]_{\eta} = [[\sigma]]_{\eta} \cap [[\tau]]_{\eta}$,
- $[[\sigma \rightarrow \tau]]_{\eta} = \{d \in D \mid \forall e \in [[\sigma]]_{\eta}. de \in [[\tau]]_{\eta}\}$,
- $[[\langle l_i : \sigma_i \mid i \in I \rangle]]_{\xi} = \{d \in D \mid \forall i \in I. d \cdot l_i \in [[\sigma_i]]_{\eta}\}$.

If $\sigma \leq \tau$ then, for any η , $[[\sigma]]_{\eta} \subseteq [[\tau]]_{\eta}$.

Type Interpretation

Let D be any domain: a *retraction* over D is a continuous function $\rho : D \rightarrow D$ such that $\rho^2 = \rho \circ \rho = \rho$.
Types can be interpreted by retractions setting (Scott):

$$[[A]]^{\mathcal{D}} = \{d \in D \mid \rho_A(d) = d\}.$$

Type Interpretation

Let D be any domain: a *retraction* over D is a continuous function $\rho : D \rightarrow D$ such that $\rho^2 = \rho \circ \rho = \rho$.
Types can be interpreted by retractions setting (Scott):

$$[[A]]^D = \{d \in D \mid \rho_A(d) = d\}.$$

Proposition. Let $A \equiv [l_i : B_i \text{ }^{i \in I}]$: if ρ_{B_i} is a retraction for all $i \in I$, then there exists a retraction ρ_A such that

$$\rho_A(d) = \langle l_i = \rho_{A \rightarrow B_i}(d \cdot l_i) \text{ }^{i \in I} \rangle,$$

where $\rho_{A \rightarrow B}(d) = \lambda x. \rho_B(d(\rho_A(x)))$.

$\rho_A = \mathbf{Fix}(\Upsilon_A)$ where $\Upsilon_A f d = \langle l_i = \lambda x. \rho_{B_i}((d \cdot l_i)(fx)) \text{ }^{i \in I} \rangle$.

Term Interpretation over Retraction

We say that $(\mathcal{D}, \{\rho_A\}_A)$ is a *retraction model* if \mathcal{D} is an untyped ς -model and $\{\rho_A\}_A$ is a family of retractions such that

$$\rho_A(d) = \langle l_i = \rho_{A \rightarrow B_i}(d \cdot l_i) \text{ }^{i \in I} \rangle \text{ where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i \text{ }^{i \in I}].$$

Term Interpretation over Retraction

We say that $(\mathcal{D}, \{\rho_A\}_A)$ is a *retraction model* if \mathcal{D} is an untyped ς -model and $\{\rho_A\}_A$ is a family of retractions such that

$$\rho_A(d) = \langle l_i = \rho_{A \rightarrow B_i}(d \cdot l_i) \text{ }^{i \in I} \rangle \text{ where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i \text{ }^{i \in I}].$$

The *term interpretation* $\llbracket a^A \rrbracket_{\xi}^{\mathcal{D}} \in D$, where ξ is an environment, is defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x^A \rrbracket_{\xi} &= \xi(x) \\ \llbracket [l_i = \varsigma(x_i^A) b_i^{B_i} \text{ }^{i \in I}] \rrbracket_{\xi} &= \langle l_i = \lambda d. \llbracket b_i^{B_i} \rrbracket_{\xi[x_i := \rho_A(d)]} \text{ }^{i \in I} \rangle \\ \llbracket (a^A . l_i)^{B_i} \rrbracket_{\xi} &= (\llbracket a^A \rrbracket_{\xi} \cdot l_i) \llbracket a^A \rrbracket_{\xi} \\ \llbracket a^A . l_i \Leftarrow \varsigma(x^A) b^{B_i} \rrbracket_{\xi} &= \llbracket a^A \rrbracket_{\xi} \cdot l_i : = \lambda d. \llbracket b^{B_i} \rrbracket_{\xi[x := \rho_A(d)]}. \end{aligned}$$

Soundness

Set

- $\xi \models E$ if $\xi(x^A) \in \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$ whenever $x^A \in E$;
- $E \models a^A$ if for all ξ s.t. $\xi \models E$, $\llbracket a^A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}_{\xi} \in \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$;
- $\xi \models \Gamma$ if $x^A : \sigma \in \Gamma$ implies $\xi(x^A) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$;
- $\Gamma \models a^A : \sigma$ if for all ξ s.t. $\xi \models \Gamma$,
 $\llbracket a^A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}_{\xi} \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$.

Soundness

Set

- $\xi \models E$ if $\xi(x^A) \in \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$ whenever $x^A \in E$;
- $E \models a^A$ if for all ξ s.t. $\xi \models E$, $\llbracket a^A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}_{\xi} \in \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$;
- $\xi \models \Gamma$ if $x^A : \sigma \in \Gamma$ implies $\xi(x^A) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$;
- $\Gamma \models a^A : \sigma$ if for all ξ s.t. $\xi \models \Gamma$,
 $\llbracket a^A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}_{\xi} \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket^{\mathcal{D}}$.

Then

1. If $E \vdash a^A$ then $E \models a^A$;
2. if $\Gamma \vdash a^A : \sigma$ then $\Gamma \models a^A : \sigma$.

The Filter Model

If \mathcal{F} is the set of *filters* over \mathcal{L} (nonempty, upward closed sets of predicates closed under \wedge), then it is a λ -model such that:

- $\text{emp} = \uparrow \langle \cdot \rangle$;

- $F \cdot l_i = \{ \sigma \mid \langle l_i : \sigma \rangle \in F \}$;

- $(F \cdot l_i :=$

$$G) = \left\{ \langle l_j : \sigma_j^{j \in J} \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} (j \neq i \ \& \ \langle l_j : \sigma \rangle \in F) \vee \\ (j = i \ \& \ \sigma_i \in G) \end{array} \right.$$

\mathcal{F} is an untyped ς -model.

Languages: retractions over \mathcal{F}

The family $\{\rho_A\}_A$ where $\rho_A(F) = F \cap \mathcal{L}_A$, is a family of retractions turning \mathcal{F} into a retraction model. Indeed, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and type $A \equiv [l_i : B_i]^{i \in I}$:

$$F \cap \mathcal{L}_A = \langle l_i = \lambda X. (F \cdot l_i)(X \cap \mathcal{L}_A) \cap \mathcal{L}_{B_i} \rangle^{i \in I},$$

where $\lambda X. e[X] = \{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \in e[\uparrow\sigma]\}$ represents a continuous function over \mathcal{F} .

Moreover, $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_\eta = \{F \in \mathcal{F} \mid \sigma \in F\}$ is a predicate interpretation such that, if $\eta(\kappa) \subseteq \llbracket K \rrbracket$ whenever $\kappa \in \mathcal{L}_K$, then $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_\eta \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket$ if $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_A$.

Completeness

For all a^A such that $E \vdash a^A$, for some E , and all environment ξ s.t. $\xi \models E$:

$$\llbracket a^A \rrbracket_{\xi}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{ \sigma \mid \exists \Gamma. \xi \models \Gamma \ \& \ \Gamma \vdash a^A : \sigma \}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash a^A : \sigma \iff \Gamma \models a^A : \sigma.$$

Further steps

- Account for (first order) subtyping (some ideas, many problems).
- Study higher order calculi, possibly including classes and class inheritance (mixins).
- Consider hybrid calculi, like objects and MA (work in progress with Barbanera).