

# A Filter Model for the $\lambda\mu$ -Calculus

Ugo de'Liguoro

joint work with Steffen van Bakel and Franco Barbanera

TLCA - June 2011, Novi Sad

# Motivations

- Continuations are a powerful concept, but make reasoning hard
- Parigot's  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus interprets classical proofs as functional programs plus (some kind of) continuations
- Curry style type assignment system have been successfully used in the study of the pure  $\lambda$ -calculus, and also of term rewriting, object calculi, etc.
- We take  $\lambda\mu$  as a significant case study to investigate how Curry systems might be of help to reason about programming with continuations.

Previous work by: Dougherty, Ghilezan, Lescanne (on  $\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$ ) and van Bakel (on  $\lambda\mu$ ).

# Overview

- 1 we fix a notion of  $\lambda\mu$ -model after Streicher and Reus
- 2 we describe an instance of such a model as a filter-domain using intersection types
- 3 from the term interpretation map we reconstruct a type assignment system which is invariant under subject reduction *and expansion* and is sound and complete w.r.t. the given notion of model
- 4 we eventually show that simply typed  $\lambda\mu$ -terms (in Parigot's system) have non trivial types in our system
- 5 are SN  $\lambda\mu$ -terms characterizable in our system?

# Syntax and reduction

$\lambda\mu$  syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} M, N &::= x \mid \lambda x.M \mid MN \mid \mu\alpha.Q && \text{(terms)} \\ Q &::= [\alpha]M && \text{(commands)} \end{aligned}$$

Structural substitution:

$T[\alpha \leftarrow L] \equiv$  the replacement of all  $[\alpha]N$  by  $[\alpha]NL$  in  $T$

Reduction:

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta) : & (\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow M[N/x] \\ (\mu) : & (\mu\beta.Q)N \longrightarrow \mu\beta.Q[\beta \leftarrow N] \\ (ren) : & [\alpha]\mu\beta.Q \longrightarrow Q[\alpha/\beta] \\ (\mu\eta) : & \mu\alpha.[\alpha]M \longrightarrow M && \text{if } \alpha \notin fn(M) \end{aligned}$$

# Continuation Semantics (Streicher-Reus)

*Continuation domain equations*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} R & \text{results} \\ D = [C \rightarrow R] & \text{denotations} \\ C = (D \times C) & \text{continuations} \end{array} \right.$$

If  $(R, D, C)$  are a solution then  $D$  is an extensional  $\lambda$ -model:

$$D \simeq [C \rightarrow R] \simeq [(D \times C) \rightarrow R] \simeq [D \rightarrow [C \rightarrow R]] \simeq [D \rightarrow D]$$

while  $C$  is the infinite product:

$$C \simeq D \times D \times \dots$$

# Term Interpretation

$$\text{Env} = (\text{Var} \rightarrow D) + (\text{Name} \rightarrow C)$$

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^D : \text{Trm} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow D$$

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^C : \text{Cmd} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow C$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket^D e k = e x k$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x. M \rrbracket^D e k = \llbracket M \rrbracket^D e [x := d] k' \quad \langle d, k' \rangle = k$$

$$\llbracket MN \rrbracket^D e k = \llbracket M \rrbracket^D e \langle \llbracket N \rrbracket^D e, k \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \mu \alpha. Q \rrbracket^D e k = d k' \quad \langle d, k' \rangle = \llbracket Q \rrbracket^C e [\alpha := k]$$

$$\llbracket [\alpha] M \rrbracket^C e = \langle \llbracket M \rrbracket^D e, k \rangle \quad k = e \alpha$$

where  $e \in \text{Env}$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $k, k' \in C$ .

# Properties of term Interpretation

- swapping continuations:

$$\llbracket \mu\alpha. [\beta] M \rrbracket^D e k = \llbracket M \rrbracket^D e [\alpha := k] (e[\alpha := k] \beta)$$

- structural substitution:

$$\llbracket M[\alpha \leftarrow N] \rrbracket^D e k = \llbracket M \rrbracket^D e [\alpha := \langle \llbracket N \rrbracket^D e, e \alpha \rangle] k$$

Let  $=_{\beta\mu}$  be the convertibility relation induced by  $\longrightarrow_{\beta\mu}$ :

## Soundness of term interpretation

For all  $M, N \in \text{Trm}$  and model  $(R, D, C)$ :

$$M =_{\beta\mu} N \Rightarrow \llbracket M \rrbracket^D = \llbracket N \rrbracket^D$$

# Intersection Type Representation of $\omega$ -Algebraic Lattices

Given  $A \in \omega\mathbf{ALG}$

$$\mathcal{L}_A : \sigma, \tau ::= \dots \mid \sigma \wedge \tau \mid \omega$$

$\leq_A$ : a preorder s.t.

$$\sigma \leq_A \omega \quad \omega \text{ is the top}$$

$$\sigma \wedge \tau \leq \sigma, \tau$$

$$\rho \leq \sigma, \rho \leq \tau \Rightarrow \rho \leq \sigma \wedge \tau \quad \text{the meet of } \sigma, \tau$$

Let

$$\Theta : \mathcal{L}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(A) \text{ be surjective and s.t. } \sigma \leq_A \tau \Leftrightarrow \Theta(\sigma) \sqsupseteq \Theta(\tau)$$

then

$$\mathcal{F}^A := \text{Filt}(\mathcal{L}_A) \simeq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{L}_A / \leq_A) \simeq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{K}^{op}(A)) \simeq A$$

via the continuous extension of  $\uparrow \sigma \mapsto \uparrow \Theta(\sigma)$



# Type Theory $\leq_R$

Fix  $R \in \omega$  **ALG**:

$$\mathcal{L}_R: \quad \rho ::= \nu_a \mid \omega \mid \rho \wedge \rho \quad (a \in \mathcal{K}(R))$$

$$\leq_R: \quad \nu_\perp =_R \omega$$

$$\nu_{a \sqcup b} =_R \nu_a \wedge \nu_b$$

$$b \sqsubseteq a \in \mathcal{K}(R) \Rightarrow \nu_a \leq_R \nu_b$$

## Proposition

Define  $\mathcal{F}^R = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{L}_R / \leq_R)$ ; then  $R \simeq \mathcal{F}^R$  because of  $\mathcal{L}_R / \leq_R \simeq \mathcal{K}^{op}(R)$  via

$$\Theta(\nu_a) = a, \quad \Theta(\omega) = \perp, \quad \Theta(\rho \wedge \rho') = \Theta(\rho) \sqcup \Theta(\rho')$$

# Type Theories $\leq_C$ and $\leq_D$

Languages after the approximants in the inverse limit construction:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 C_0 & = & \{\perp\} \\
 D_n & = & [C_n \rightarrow R] \\
 C_{n+1} & = & D_n \times C_n \\
 & \implies & \\
 D & = & \lim_n D_n \\
 C & = & \lim_n C_n
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{lcl}
 \mathcal{L}_{C_0} & \kappa ::= & \omega \\
 \mathcal{L}_{D_n} & \delta ::= & \rho \mid \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \delta \wedge \delta \mid \omega \\
 \mathcal{L}_{C_{n+1}} & \kappa ::= & \delta \times \kappa \mid \kappa \wedge \kappa \mid \omega \\
 \\
 \mathcal{L}_D & = & \bigcup_n \mathcal{L}_{D_n} \\
 \mathcal{L}_C & = & \bigcup_n \mathcal{L}_{C_n}
 \end{array}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \mathcal{L}_D : & \delta ::= & \rho \mid \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \omega \mid \delta \wedge \delta \\
 \mathcal{L}_C : & \kappa ::= & \delta \times \kappa \mid \omega \mid \kappa \wedge \kappa
 \end{array}$$

# Type Theories $\leq_C$ and $\leq_D$

Theory  $\leq_C$ :

$$\frac{}{\omega \leq_C \omega \times \omega} \quad \frac{}{(\delta_1 \times \kappa_1) \wedge (\delta_2 \times \kappa_2) \leq_C (\delta_1 \wedge \kappa_2) \times (\kappa_1 \wedge \kappa_2)}$$

$$\frac{\delta_1 \leq_D \delta_2 \quad \kappa_1 \leq_C \kappa_2}{\delta_1 \times \kappa_1 \leq_C \delta_2 \times \kappa_2}$$

Theory  $\leq_D$ :

$$\frac{}{\omega \leq_D \omega \rightarrow \omega} \quad \frac{}{\nu =_D \omega \rightarrow \nu} \quad \frac{}{(\kappa \rightarrow \delta_1) \wedge (\kappa \rightarrow \delta_2) \leq_D \kappa \rightarrow (\kappa_1 \wedge \kappa_2)}$$

$$\frac{\kappa_2 \leq_C \kappa_1 \quad \delta_1 \leq_D \delta_2}{\kappa_1 \rightarrow \delta_1 \leq_D \kappa_2 \rightarrow \delta_2}$$

# Solution of Continuation Equations

## Filter Domains solving Continuation Equations

Let  $\mathcal{F}^C = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{L}_C / \leq_C)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^D = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{L}_D / \leq_D)$ :

$$\mathcal{F}^D \simeq [\mathcal{F}^C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^R] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^C \simeq \mathcal{F}^D \times \mathcal{F}^C$$

Via the mappings  $F : \mathcal{F}^D \rightarrow [\mathcal{F}^C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^R]$  and  $G : [\mathcal{F}^C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^R] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^D$

$$F d k = \{ \rho \in \mathcal{L}_R \mid \exists \kappa \rightarrow \rho \in d. \kappa \in k \}$$

$$G f = \{ \bigwedge_{i \in I} \kappa_i \rightarrow \rho_i \in \mathcal{L}_D \mid \forall i \in I. \rho_i \in f(\uparrow \kappa_i) \}$$

and the mappings  $H : \mathcal{F}^C \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^D \times \mathcal{F}^C)$  and  $K : (\mathcal{F}^D \times \mathcal{F}^C) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^C$

$$H k = \langle \{ \delta \in \mathcal{L}_D \mid \delta \times \kappa \in k \}, \{ \kappa \in \mathcal{L}_D \mid \delta \times \kappa \in k \} \rangle$$

$$K \langle d, k \rangle = \{ \delta \times \kappa \in \mathcal{L}_C \mid \delta \in d \ \& \ \kappa \in k \}$$

# Typing judgements

Typing judgements are triples of a *basis*, a *term/command judgement* and a *context*:

- *basis*:  $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \delta_1, \dots, x_n : \delta_n\}$ , with  $x_i \in \text{Var}$ ,  $\delta_i \in \mathcal{L}_D$
- *judgement*:
  - *term*:  $M : \delta$  with  $M \in \text{Trm}$ ,  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}_D$
  - *command*:  $Q : \kappa$  with  $Q \in \text{Cmd}$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathcal{L}_C$
- *context*:  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1 : \kappa_1, \dots, \alpha_m : \kappa_m\}$ , with  $\alpha_i \in \text{Name}$ ,  $\kappa_i \in \mathcal{L}_C$

A *typing judgement* has either forms:

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \Delta \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : \kappa \mid \Delta$$

# Type interpretation

$$\llbracket \nu_a \rrbracket^R = \{r \in R \mid a \sqsubseteq r\}$$

$$\llbracket \delta \times \kappa \rrbracket^R = \llbracket \delta \rrbracket^D \times \llbracket \kappa \rrbracket^C$$

$$\llbracket \kappa \rightarrow \rho \rrbracket^D = \{d \in D \mid \forall k \in \llbracket \kappa \rrbracket. d(k) \in \llbracket \rho \rrbracket^R\}$$

$$\llbracket \rho \rrbracket^D = \llbracket \omega \rightarrow \rho \rrbracket^D$$

$$\llbracket \omega \rrbracket^A = A$$

for  $A = R, D, C$

$$\llbracket \sigma \wedge \tau \rrbracket^A = \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^A \cap \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^A$$

Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A$

## Soundness of Type Interpretation

- 1  $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^A \subseteq A$  and  $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^A = \uparrow \Theta(\sigma)$

- 2  $\sigma \leq_A \tau \Rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^A \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^A$

# Models and validity

A *model* is a triple  $\mathcal{M} = (R, D, C)$  satisfying the continuation equations, together with the maps  $[\cdot]^R$ ,  $[\cdot]^D$  and  $[\cdot]^C$

Let  $e \in \text{Env}$ :

- $e \models \Gamma, \Delta \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \delta \in \Gamma. e(x) \in [\delta]^D \ \& \ \forall \alpha : \kappa \in \Delta. e(\alpha) \in [\kappa]^C$
- $\Gamma \models_{\mathcal{M}} M : \delta \mid \Delta \Leftrightarrow \forall e \in \text{Env}. e \models \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow [M]^D e \in [\delta]^D$   
(and similarly  $\mathcal{M} \models \Gamma \vdash Q : \kappa \mid \Delta$ )
- $\Gamma \models M : \delta \mid \Delta \ (\Gamma \models Q : \kappa \mid \Delta) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $\forall \mathcal{M}. \Gamma \models_{\mathcal{M}} M : \delta \mid \Delta \ (\Gamma \models_{\mathcal{M}} Q : \kappa \mid \Delta)$

Say that  $\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \Delta \ (\Gamma \vdash Q : \kappa \mid \Delta)$  is *valid* if  $\Gamma \models M : \delta \mid \Delta$   
( $\Gamma \models Q : \kappa \mid \Delta$ )

# The filter model

Consider  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}^R, \mathcal{F}^D, \mathcal{F}^C)$  which is the model of filters isomorphic to a model  $\mathcal{M} = (R, D, C)$ :

Inspired to Abramsky's *exogenous logic*, we derive the inference rules for judgements  $\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \Delta$  ( $\Gamma \vdash Q : \kappa \mid \Delta$ ) from the clauses defining the maps  $[[\cdot]]^{\mathcal{F}^R}$ ,  $[[\cdot]]^{\mathcal{F}^D}$  and  $[[\cdot]]^{\mathcal{F}^C}$

## Rules from interpretation in $\mathcal{F}$

*definicens*, right hand side of defining clause = premises

---

*definiendum*, left hand side of defining clause = conclusion

Observing that

$$[[M]]^{\mathcal{F}^D} e \in [[\delta]]^{\mathcal{F}^D} \Leftrightarrow \delta \in [[M]]^{\mathcal{F}^D} e$$

(similarly for  $[[Q]]^{\mathcal{F}^C} e \in [[\kappa]]^{\mathcal{F}^C}$ )



# Rules from interpretation clauses: $(\rightarrow I)$ and $(\rightarrow E)$

Consider the interpretation of  $\lambda x.M$  in  $\mathcal{F}^D$ :

$$\llbracket \lambda x.M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e \langle \uparrow \delta, \uparrow \kappa \rangle = \llbracket M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e[x := \uparrow \delta] \uparrow \kappa$$

where  $\langle \uparrow \delta, \uparrow \kappa \rangle \simeq \uparrow(\delta \times \kappa)$ . This reads as the inference rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \delta \vdash M : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta} (\rightarrow I)$$

Similarly in case of  $MN$ , from

$$\llbracket MN \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e \uparrow \kappa = \llbracket M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e \langle \llbracket N \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e, \uparrow \kappa \rangle$$

and for any  $\delta \in \llbracket N \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e$  we get:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta} (\rightarrow E)$$

# Rules from interpretation clauses: ( $\times$ )

Consider:

$$\llbracket [\alpha]M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^C} e = \langle \llbracket M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e, e \alpha \rangle$$

then for any  $\delta \in \llbracket M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e$  and  $\kappa \in (e \alpha)$ :

$$\delta \times \kappa \in \llbracket [\alpha]M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^C} e$$

from which we obtain the rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]M : \delta \times \kappa \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta} (\times)$$

# Rules from interpretation clauses: $(\mu)$

Recall that

$$\llbracket \mu\alpha.Q \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e \uparrow \kappa = d \cdot k' \quad \text{where} \quad \llbracket Q \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^C} e[\alpha := \uparrow \kappa] = \langle d, k' \rangle$$

Filter application is  $d \cdot k' = \{\rho \mid \exists \kappa' \rightarrow \rho. \kappa' \in d\}$  hence

$$(\kappa' \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \in \llbracket Q \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^C} e[\alpha := \uparrow \kappa] \Rightarrow \rho \in \llbracket \mu\alpha.Q \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e \uparrow \kappa$$

and we obtain the rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash Q : (\kappa' \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.Q : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta} (\mu)$$

# The type assignment system $\vdash_{\wedge}$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \delta \vdash x : \delta \mid \Delta} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \delta \vdash M : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta} \text{ (}\rightarrow I\text{)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta} \text{ (}\rightarrow E\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]M : \delta \times \kappa \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta} \text{ (}\times\text{)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash Q : (\kappa' \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha. Q : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta} \text{ (}\mu\text{)}$$

$T = M, Q; A = D, C; \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{L}_A$ :

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash T : \omega \mid \Delta} \text{ (}\omega\text{)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T : \sigma \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash T : \tau \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash T : \sigma \wedge \tau \mid \Delta} \text{ (}\wedge\text{)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T : \sigma \mid \Delta \quad \sigma \leq_A \tau}{\Gamma \vdash T : \tau \mid \Delta} \text{ (}\leq\text{)}$$

# Some admissible rules

if  $\alpha \notin \text{fn}(L)$  then the following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \alpha : \delta' \times \kappa', \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash L : \delta' \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash M[\alpha \Leftarrow L] : \delta \mid \alpha : \kappa', \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\beta]M : \kappa \mid \alpha : \delta' \times \kappa', \Delta \quad \beta \neq \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash L : \delta' \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash ([\beta]M)[\alpha \Leftarrow L] \equiv [\beta](M[\alpha \Leftarrow L]) : \kappa \mid \alpha : \kappa', \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]M : (\delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho) \times (\delta' \times \kappa') \mid \alpha : \delta' \times \kappa', \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash L : \delta \wedge \delta' \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash ([\alpha]M)[\alpha \Leftarrow L] \equiv [\alpha](M[\alpha \Leftarrow L]L) : (\kappa \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \mid \alpha : \kappa', \Delta}$$

# Subject reduction (1)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \kappa' \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \delta \times \kappa, \Delta \quad \Delta(\beta) = \kappa'}{\Gamma \vdash [\beta]M : (\kappa' \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \mid \alpha : \delta \times \kappa, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.[\beta]M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash (\mu\alpha.[\beta]M)N : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta}$$

$$(\mu\alpha.[\beta]M)N \longrightarrow \mu\alpha.[\beta](M[\alpha \leftarrow N]) \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \kappa' \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \delta \times \kappa, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash M[\alpha \leftarrow N] : \kappa' \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M[\alpha \leftarrow N] : \kappa' \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta \quad \Delta(\beta) = \kappa'}{\Gamma \vdash [\beta](M[\alpha \leftarrow N]) : (\kappa' \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [\beta](M[\alpha \leftarrow N]) : (\kappa' \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa' \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.[\beta](M[\alpha \leftarrow N]) : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta}$$

# Subject reduction (2)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \Gamma \vdash M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \delta \times \kappa, \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash [\alpha]M : (\delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho) \times (\delta \times \kappa) \mid \alpha : \delta \times \kappa, \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.[\alpha]M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta \qquad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash (\mu\alpha.[\alpha]M)N : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta \\
 \\
 (\mu\alpha.[\alpha]M)N \longrightarrow \mu\alpha.[\alpha](M[\alpha \leftarrow N])N \\
 \\
 \Gamma \vdash M : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \delta \times \kappa, \Delta \qquad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash M[\alpha \leftarrow N] : \delta \times \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta \qquad \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash (M[\alpha \leftarrow N])N : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash [\alpha](M[\alpha \leftarrow N])N : (\kappa \rightarrow \rho) \times \kappa \mid \alpha : \kappa, \Delta \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.[\alpha](M[\alpha \leftarrow N])N : \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \Delta
 \end{array}$$

# Properties of the type assignment system

## Theorem: subject reduction and expansion

If  $M \longrightarrow_{\beta\mu} N$  then

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \Delta \Leftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash N : \delta \mid \Delta$$

## Theorem: soundness and completeness

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \Delta \Leftrightarrow \Gamma \models M : \delta \mid \Delta$$

Proof based on the filter model construction and the fact that

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket^{\mathcal{F}^D} e = \{ \delta \mid \exists \Gamma, \Delta. e \models \Gamma, \Delta \ \& \ \Gamma \vdash M : \delta \mid \Delta \}$$



# Parigot's (first order) type assignment system $\vdash_P$

Propositional types:

$$A, B ::= \varphi \mid \perp_A \mid A \rightarrow B$$

Rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A \mid \Delta} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : A \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B \mid \Delta} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash Q : \perp_B \mid \alpha : A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha. Q : A \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \alpha : A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha]M : \perp_A \mid \alpha : A, \Delta}
 \end{array}$$

# Translating Parigot's types into intersection types

Take  $a \in R \setminus \{\perp\}$ , and write  $\nu \equiv \nu_a$ . Consider the maps from propositional types into ours,  $A^C \in \mathcal{L}_C$  and  $A^D \in \mathcal{L}_D$ :

- $\varphi^C = \nu \times \omega$
- $\perp_A^C = (A^C \rightarrow \nu) \times A^C$
- $(A \rightarrow B)^C = (A^C \rightarrow \nu) \times B^C$
- $A^D = A^C \rightarrow \nu$

Write  $\Gamma^D = \{x : A^D \mid x : A \in \Gamma\}$  and  $\Delta^C = \{\alpha : A^C \mid \alpha : A \in \Delta\}$

## Theorem

- 1  $\Gamma \vdash_P M : A \mid \Delta \Rightarrow \Gamma^D \vdash_{\wedge} M : A^D \mid \Delta^C$
- 2  $\Gamma \vdash_P Q : A \mid \Delta \Rightarrow \Gamma^D \vdash_{\wedge} Q : A^C \mid \Delta^C$

# Conjecture

$$\mathcal{L}_R^- : \rho ::= \nu_a \mid \rho \wedge \rho \quad (a \neq \perp)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_C^- : \kappa ::= \delta \times \omega \mid \delta \times \kappa \mid \kappa \wedge \kappa$$

$$\mathcal{L}_D^- : \delta ::= \nu \mid \kappa \rightarrow \rho \mid \delta \wedge \delta$$

## Proposition

- $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_C^- \cup \mathcal{L}_D^- \Rightarrow \sigma \neq \omega$
- for all formula  $A$ , both  $A^C$  and  $A^D$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_C^- \cup \mathcal{L}_D^-$

**Conjecture:** exactly all strongly normalizable terms are typeable in a subsystem  $\vdash_{\wedge}^-$  with types in  $\mathcal{L}_C^- \cup \mathcal{L}_D^-$ , and without rule  $(\omega)$ .

# Conclusions and future work

- 1 we have defined a type theory which describes a denotational model of  $\lambda\mu$
- 2 the type assignment system induces a filter model of the  $\lambda\mu$ -calculus
- 3 all terms which are typeable in Parigot's (first order) assignment system have a non trivial typing in our system
- 4 we conjecture that non trivial typing in a proper subsystem of ours characterizes strongly normalizable terms
- 5 the system we have proposed could characterize other interesting sets of terms, and more interestingly might provide a tool to investigate Parigot's computational interpretation of classical proofs